Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,008	NAKAJIMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Keshia Gibson	3761
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>pending</u>
(1) <u>Keshia Gibson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Gzybowski- applicant's representative	<u>9</u> . (4)	
Date of Interview: 9 May 2006	Time: afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claim 1		
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 4		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Constitution Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) ' (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Gzybowski that amended Claim 1 was still not considered to read over the prior art of record. Examiner stated that she would be willing to allow the case if Claim 4, which was previously indicated as allowable, were brought into Claim 1. Mr. Gzybowski agreed to fax the applicants to see if such an amendment would be acceptable.